



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,955	07/14/2003	Ichiro Yamashita	61352-039	4459
7590 11/18/2004		EXAMINER		
Michael E. Fogarty McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096			YU, MELANIE J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1641	
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/617,955	YAMASHITA, ICHIRO
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Melanie Yu	1641
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover shee	with the correspondence address
THE - Extended after aft	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a respective period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be available. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma reply within the statutory minimum of od will apply and will expire SIX (6) I tute, cause the application to becom	thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status			
<u> </u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal m	
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdown claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction and/or claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction.	rawn from consideration.	
Applicati	on Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the latest part of the latest p	ccepted or b) objected  ne drawing(s) be held in abeg  ection is required if the drawi	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prince application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in iority documents have be au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage
Attachmen	t(s)		
1)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/617,955

Art Unit: 1641

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-13, drawn to a fine particle film, classified in class 436, subclass 518.
- II. Claims 14 and 16-18, drawn to a method for producing a fine particle film comprising a negatively charged substrate, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- III. Claims 15 and 19-21, drawn to a method for producing a fine particle film comprising a positively charged substrate, classified in class 435, subclass 4.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 1. Inventions of group I and each of groups II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by the process of group II or III.
- Inventions group II and group III are patentably distinct. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects. The process for producing a fine particle film of group II requires a positively charged substrate, while the process of group III requires a negatively charged substrate.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of

Application/Control Number: 10/617,955

Art Unit: 1641

the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of M.P.E.P. §821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.** 

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See M.P.E.P. § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1641

3. A telephone call was made to Mr. Michael Fogarty on November 8, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie Yu whose telephone number is (571) 272-2933. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on (571) 272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melanie Yu

Patent Examiner

milan

Art Unit 1641

Christyl L. Christopher L. Chin PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800/641

11/11/04